REMARKS

The Office Action dated December 16, 2004, has been received and carefully

noted. The amendments made herein and the following remarks are submitted as a full

and complete response thereto.

Claims 1-6 and 8-10 have been amended. Applicants submit that the

amendments made herein are fully supported in the specification and the drawings as

originally filed, and therefore no new matter has been added. Accordingly, claims 1-10

are pending in the present application and claims 1-10 are respectfully submitted for

consideration.

Formal Matters

Claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite because the Examiner appears to be unclear about the subject matter recited

in the claims.

The claims have been amended responsive to the rejection. Furthermore,

Applicant highlights Figures 4 and 8 of the drawings along with pages 12-14 and 19-20

of the Specification. It is submitted that at least the above highlighted sections of the

present application clearly discloses the subject matter of the present invention, and

therefore the subject matter recited in claims 1-10 is definite. Hence, Applicant

respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.

Claims 1-10 Rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

Claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

the Dhong et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,014,763, hereinafter "Dhong") in view of Whetsel

(U.S. Patent No. 6,405,335). Applicant respectfully submits that each of claims 1-10

7

Application No.: 09/891,310

recites subject matter that is neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited prior art.

Claims 1, 5 and 9 each recites an integrated circuit device comprising, among

other features, a plurality of boundary scan registers, serially connected to constitute a

shift register, for inputting and outputting scan-in data or scan-out data, the scan-in data

being input to the shift register and the scan-out data being output from the shift

register, wherein each of the boundary scan registers selectively input an input data

including one of an output of the input buffer and the scan-in or scan-out data, holds the

input data, and selectively output either the input data or an output from one of the serial

to parallel conversion circuits.

It is respectfully submitted that the prior art fails to disclose or suggest at least

the above-mentioned features of the Applicant's invention.

Dhong discloses a method of scanning an integrated circuit, by converting a

parallel scan input (scan data and scan control) to serial, passing the serial scan input

through scan circuitry to create a serial scan output, converting the scan output from

serial to parallel, transmitting the scan output in parallel from the integrated circuit to the

tester. Dhong further provides a tester clock signal that is derived by synchronizing the

tester to a divided clock signal (1/N) of the integrated circuit. Communications take

place at a speed of the tester clock signal, but the scan operates at the full operational

speed of the device under test.

Whetsel discloses an integrated circuit comprising core circuitry including

functional inputs and functional outputs, an input pad and an output pad. Whetsel also

provides a scan distributor circuitry connected between the input pad and, selectively, at

least some of the functional inputs, through a multiplexer. Scan collector circuitry

8

Application No.: 09/891,310

connects selectively between at least some of the functional outputs and the output pad,

through a demultiplexer. A strobe is inputted to a series of buffers 2208, 2210, 2212,

and 2214 connected such that the output of the first buffer drives scan path 1 and the

input of the second buffer, the second buffer drives the input of scan path 2 and the

input of the third buffer, and so on until the last buffer drives only the last scan path.

Applicant respectfully submits that each of claims 1, 5 and 9 recites subject

matter that is neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited prior art. In particular, neither

Dhong nor Whetsel, taken together or in combination, disclose or suggest at least the

limitation of "a plurality of boundary scan registers, serially connected to constitute a

shift register, for inputting and outputting scan-in data or scan-out data, the scan-in data

being input to the shift register and the scan-out data being output from the shift

register, wherein each of the boundary scan registers selectively input an input data

including one of an output of the input buffer and the scan-in or scan-out data, holds the

input data, and selectively output either the input data or an output from one of the serial

to parallel conversion circuits."

As characterized by the Office Action, Dhong uses the steps of transmitting a

scan input in parallel from a tester to the integrated circuit, converting the scan input at

the integrated circuit from parallel to serial, and passing the serial scan input through

scan circuitry of the integrated circuit to create a serial scan output. The Office Action

further characterizes Whetsel as teaching an integrated circuit for testing in which the

input signal is input to a series of input buffers connected to the individual scan paths

(column 28, lines 45-61). However, Applicant submits that Office Action failed to

indicate at least the limiting feature of "a plurality of boundary scan registers, serially

9

Application No.: 09/891,310

connected to constitute a shift register, for inputting and outputting scan-in data or scan-

out data, the scan-in data being input to the shift register and the scan-out data being

output from the shift register, wherein each of the boundary scan registers selectively

input an input data including one of an output of the input buffer and the scan-in or

scan-out data, holds the input data, and selectively output either the input data or an

output from one of the serial to parallel conversion circuits" in the cited prior art.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 5 and 9 are allowable.

To establish prima facie obviousness, each feature of a rejected claim must be

taught or suggested by the applied art of record. See M.P.E.P. §2143.03 and In re

Royka, 490 F.2d 981 (CCPA 1974). As explained above, Dhong and Whetsel, alone or

in combination, do not teach or suggest each feature recited by pending Claims 1, 5 and

9. Accordingly, for the above provided reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that

pending Claims 1, 5 and 9 are not rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Dhong

and Whetsel.

As claims 2-4 depend from claim 1, claims 6-8 depend from claim 5, and claim

10 depends from claim 9, Applicant respectfully submit that claims 2-4, 6-8 and 10

should be deemed allowable for at least the same reasons claims 1, 5 and 9 are

allowable, as well as for the additional subject matter recited therein.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

Conclusion

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that each of claims 1-10

recites subject matter that is neither disclosed nor suggested in the cited prior art.

Applicants also submit that the subject matter is more than sufficient to render the

10

Application No.: 09/891,310

claims non-obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, and therefore respectfully request that claims 1-10 be found allowable and that this application be passed to issue.

If for any reason, the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

In the event this paper has not been timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to counsel's Deposit Account No. 01-2300 referencing Attorney Docket No. 108066-00037.

Respectfully submitted

Sam Huang

Registration No. 48,430

Customer No. 004372

ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLĆ

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.,

Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036-5339

Tel: (202) 857-6000 Fax: (202) 638-4810

Enclosures: RCE Transmittal

Petition for Extension of Time (2 Month)